

FILED 1 STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF THE CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL 2 **JAYNE KIM, No. 174614** FEB 09 2016 CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL 3 JOSEPH R. CARLUCCI, No. 172309 STATE BAR COURT DEPUTY CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL **CLERK'S OFFICE** 4 MELANIE J. LAWRENCE, No. 230102 LOS ANGELES ASSISTANT CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL 5 ANTHONY J. GARCIA, No. 171419 SUPERVISING SENIOR TRIAL COUNSEL CHARLES T. CALIX, No. 146853 SENIOR TRIAL COUNSEL 7 845 South Figueroa Street Los Angeles, California 90017-2515 8 Telephone: (213) 765-1255 9 10 STATE BAR COURT 11 **HEARING DEPARTMENT - LOS ANGELES** 12 13 In the Matter of: Case No. 15-O-12406 14 MARY DERPARSEGHIAN aka FIRST AMENDED NOTICE OF MARY DER-PARSEGHIAN. **DISCIPLINARY CHARGES** 15 No. 224541, 16 17 A Member of the State Bar 18 **NOTICE - FAILURE TO RESPOND!** 19 IF YOU FAIL TO FILE A WRITTEN ANSWER TO THIS NOTICE WITHIN 20 DAYS AFTER SERVICE, OR IF YOU FAIL TO APPEAR AT 20 THE STATE BAR COURT TRIAL: 21 (1) YOUR DEFAULT WILL BE ENTERED; (2) YOUR STATUS WILL BE CHANGED TO INACTIVE AND YOU 22 WILL NOT BE PERMITTED TO PRACTICE LAW; (3) YOU WILL NOT BE PERMITTED TO PARTICIPATE FURTHER IN 23 THESE PROCEEDINGS UNLESS YOU MAKE A TIMELY MOTION AND THE DEFAULT IS SET ASIDE, AND: 24 (4) YOU SHALL BE SUBJECT TO ADDITIONAL DISCIPLINE. SPECIFICALLY, IF YOU FAIL TO TIMELY MOVE TO SET ASIDE 25 OR VACATE YOUR DEFAULT, THIS COURT WILL ENTER AN ORDER RECOMMENDING YOUR DISBARMENT WITHOUT 26 FURTHER HEARING OR PROCEEDING. SEE RULE 5.80 ET SEO.. RULES OF PROCEDURE OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA. 27

-1-

The State Bar of California alleges:

2

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

JURISDICTION

1. Mary Derparseghian aka Mary Der-Parseghian ("Respondent") was admitted to the practice of law in the State of California on March 29, 2003, was a member at all times pertinent to these charges, and is currently a member of the State Bar of California.

COUNT ONE

Case No. 15-O-12406 Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(A) [Commingling Personal Funds in Client Trust Account]

2. On or about April 8, 2015, Respondent received on behalf of her client, Marcia Bourke, a settlement from Progressive Advanced Insurance Company in the sum of \$100,000 payable to Respondent and her client. On or about April 8, 2015, Respondent deposited the check for \$100,000 into her client trust account at Wells Fargo Bank, Account No. xxxxxx0433,1 on behalf of her client. The settlement check was returned to Respondent by Wells Fargo Bank on or about April 13, 2015, because it lacked any endorsement, and it was re-deposited on April 16, 2015. Of these funds, Respondent was entitled to attorney's fees of the approximate sum of \$40,000. Respondent did not promptly remove the funds which she had earned as attorney's fees from her client trust account and instead, left her attorney's fees in her client trust account to be withdrawn as needed, in willful violation of Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(A).

COUNT TWO

Case No. 15-O-12406 Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(A) [Failure to Maintain Client Funds in Trust Account]

3. On or about April 8, 2015, Respondent received on behalf of her client, Marcia Bourke, a settlement from Progressive Advanced Insurance Company in the sum of \$100,000 payable to Respondent and her client. On or about April 16, 2015, Respondent deposited the check for \$100,000 into her client trust account at Wells Fargo Bank, Account No. xxxxxx0433,² on behalf of her client. Of these funds, Respondent was entitled to attorney's fees of the

The account number has been redacted to protect the account and account holder. The account number has been redacted to protect the account and account holder.

1 approximate sum of \$40,000. Between on or about May 7, 2015 and on or about July 17, 2015. 2 Respondent failed to maintain a balance of the approximate sum of \$60,000 on behalf of her 3 client in her client trust account, in willful violation of Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(A). 4 5 **COUNT THREE** 6 Case No. 15-O-12406 Business and Professions Code section 6106 7 [Moral Turpitude - Misappropriation] .8 4. On or about April 8, 2015, Respondent received on behalf of her client, Marcia 9 Bourke, a settlement from Progressive Advanced Insurance Company in the sum of \$100,000 10 payable to Respondent and her client. On or about April 16, 2015, Respondent deposited the 11 check for \$100,000 into her client trust account at Wells Fargo Bank, Account No. xxxxxx0433,3 12 on behalf of her client. Of these funds, Respondent was entitled to attorney's fees of the 13 approximate sum of \$40,000. Between on or about May 7, 2015 and on or about July 17, 2015, 14 Respondent dishonestly or grossly negligently misappropriated for her own purposes the 15 approximate sum of \$59,999.55 that the client was entitled to receive, and thereby committed an 16 act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty or corruption in willful violation of Business and 17 Professions Code section 6106. 18 **COUNT FOUR** 19 Case No. 15-O-12406 Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(A) 20 [Commingling Personal Funds in Client Trust Account] 21 5. On or about June 5, 2015 and on or about June 8, 2015, Respondent deposited or 22 commingled funds belonging to her or her family trust into her client trust account at Wells Fargo Bank, Account No. xxxxxx0433, 4 as follows in wilful violation Rules of Professional 23 24 Conduct, rule 4-100(A): 25

The account number has been redacted to protect the account and account holder.

The account number has been redacted to protect the account and account holder.

26

27

1	<u>DATE</u> <u>AMOUNT</u> <u>FORM OF DEPOSIT</u>					
2						
3		;				
4	COUNT FIVE					
5	Case No. 15-O-12406					
6	Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(B)(3) [Failure to Render Accounts of Client Funds]					
7	6. On or about April 8, 2015, Respondent received on behalf of her client, Marcia					
8	Bourke, a settlement from Progressive Advanced Insurance Company in the sum of \$100,000					
9	payable to Respondent and her client. On or about April 16, 2015, Respondent deposited the					
10	check for \$100,000 into her client trust account at Wells Fargo Bank, Account No. xxxxxx0433,5					
11	on behalf of her client. Of these funds, Respondent's client was entitled to the approximate sum					
12	of \$60,000. Respondent thereafter failed to prepare and maintain appropriate records concerning					
13	those funds and her client trust account, including but not limited to the client ledger for the					
14	client, the written journal for the client trust account, and the monthly reconciliation for the client					
15	trust account, in willful violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(B)(3).					
16	COUNT SIX					
17	Case No. 15-O-12406					
18	Business and Professions Code section 6103 [Failure to Obey a Court Order]					
19	7. Respondent disobeyed or violated an order of the court requiring her to do or forbear					
20	an act connected with or in the course of her profession which she ought in good faith to do or					
21	forbear by failing to comply with the orders of the Superior Court of California issued on or					
22	about October 20, 2015, ordering that the sums of \$37,500 and \$18,750 to be received by					
23	Respondent on behalf of her client Marcia Bourke were to be deposited in a client trust account					
24	at Bank of America "with no funds to be withdrawn without first obtaining order of court" in					
25	Dale Queenan and Marcia Bourke v. Michael Kenyon, et al, Superior Court of California,					
26	County of San Bernardino, SBSC Case No. CIVRS 1402677, in willful violation of Business and					
27	Professions Code section 6103.					

⁵ The account number has been redacted to protect the account and account holder.
-4-

COUNT SEVEN

Case No. 15-O-12406
Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(A)
[Failure to Maintain Client Funds in Trust Account]

8. On or about September 14, 2015, Respondent received on behalf of her client, Marcia Bourke, a settlement check from The Cincinnati Insurance Companies in the sum of \$18,750 payable to her and her client. On or about September 14, 2015, Respondent deposited the settlement check for \$18,750 into her client trust account at Bank of America, Account No. xxxx xxxx 2757 ("BofA CTA"), on behalf of her client. On or about September 23, 2015, Respondent received on behalf of her client a settlement check from National General in the sum of \$37,500 payable to her client. On or about September 23, 2015, Respondent deposited the settlement check for \$37,500 into her BofA CTA on behalf of her client. Of these funds, Respondent was not entitled to any attorney's fees. Between: on or about September 14, 2015 and on or about September 18, 2015, Respondent failed to maintain a balance of the approximate sum of \$18,750 on behalf of her client in her BofA CTA; and between on or about September 23, 2015 and on or about December 17, 2015, Respondent failed to maintain a balance of the approximate sum of \$56,250 on behalf of her client in her BofA CTA, in willful violation of Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(A).

COUNT EIGHT

Case No. 15-O-12406
Business and Professions Code section 6106
[Moral Turpitude - Misappropriation]

9. On or about September 14, 2015, Respondent received on behalf of her client, Marcia Bourke, a settlement check from The Cincinnati Insurance Companies in the sum of \$18,750 payable to her and her client. On or about September 14, 2015, Respondent deposited the settlement check for \$18,750 into her client trust account at Bank of America, Account No. xxxx xxxx 2757 ("BofA CTA"),⁷ on behalf of her client. On or about September 23, 2015, 2015, Respondent received on behalf of her client a settlement check from National General in the sum

The account number has been redacted to protect the account and account holder.

The account number has been redacted to protect the account and account holder.

1 of \$37,500 payable to her client. On or about September 23, 2015, 2015, Respondent deposited 2 the settlement check for \$37,500 into her BofA CTA on behalf of her client. Of these funds 3 totaling \$56,250, Respondent was not entitled to any attorney's fees. Between on or about September 18, 2015 and on or about December 17, 2015, Respondent dishonestly or grossly 4 5 negligently misappropriated for her own purposes the approximate sum of \$55,348.71 that the client was entitled to receive, and thereby committed an act involving moral turpitude, 6 7 dishonesty or corruption in willful violation of Business and Professions Code section 6106. 8 **NOTICE - INACTIVE ENROLLMENT!** 9 YOU ARE HEREBY FURTHER NOTIFIED THAT IF THE STATE BAR COURT FINDS, PURSUANT TO BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE 10 SECTION 6007(c), THAT YOUR CONDUCT POSES A SUBSTANTIAL THREAT OF HARM TO THE INTERESTS OF YOUR CLIENTS OR TO 11 THE PUBLIC, YOU MAY BE INVOLUNTARILY ENROLLED AS AN INACTIVE MEMBER OF THE STATE BAR. YOUR INACTIVE 12 ENROLLMENT WOULD BE IN ADDITION TO ANY DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED BY THE COURT. **NOTICE - COST ASSESSMENT!** 14 IN **EVENT** THESE PROCEDURES RESULT THE PUBLIC DISCIPLINE, YOU MAY BE SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF COSTS INCURRED BY THE STATE BAR IN THE INVESTIGATION, HEARING AND REVIEW OF THIS MATTER PURSUANT TO BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 6086.10. Respectfully submitted, THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF THE CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL DATED: February 4, 2016 Senior Trial Counsel

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

by
U.S. FIRST-CLASS MAIL / U.S. CERTIFIED MAIL / OVERNIGHT DELIVERY / FACSIMILE-ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION

CASE NUMBER(s): 15-O-12406

Californ		e age of eighteen (18) years and not a party to the wit os Angeles, California 90017, declare that:	thin action, whose business addres	ss and place of employment is the State Bar of				
	- on the date shown below, I	caused to be served a true copy of the within docume	ent described as follows:					
Security and secur	and the second s	TRST AMENDED NOTICE OF	DISCIPLINARY C	HARGES				
	By U.S. First-Class Mail: (CCP §§ 1013 and 1013(a)) - in accordance with the practice of the State Bar of California for collection and processing of mail, I deposited or placed for collection and mailing in the City and County of Los Angeles.							
	By Overnight Delivery: (CCP §§ 1013(c) and 1013(d)) - I am readily familiar with the State Bar of California's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for overnight delivery by the United Parcel Service ('UPS').							
	By Fax Transmission: (CCP §§ 1013(e) and 1013(f)) Based on agreement of the parties to accept service by fax transmission, I faxed the documents to the persons at the fax numbers listed herein below. No error was reported by the fax machine that I used. The original record of the fax transmission is retained on file and available upon request.							
	By Electronic Service: (CCP § 1010.6) Based on a court order or an agreement of the parties to accept service by electronic transmission, I caused the documents to be sent to the person(s) at the electronic addresses listed herein below. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful.							
	(for U.S. First-Class Mail) IN	a sealed envelope placed for collection and ma	illing at Los Angeles, addresse	d to: (see below)				
	(for Certified Mail) in a sealed envelope placed for collection and mailing as certified mail, return receipt requested, Article No.: 9414 7266 9904 2010 0738 95 at Los Angeles, addressed to: (see below)							
	(for Overnight Delivery) toge Tracking No.:	ether with a copy of this declaration, in an envel	ope, or package designated by addressed to: (see below)	UPS,				
	Person Served	Business-Residential Address	Fax Number	Courtesy Copy to:				
MARY DERPARSEGHIAN aka MARY DER-PARSEGHIAN		MARY DER-PARSEGHIAN, APC 35 N Lake Ave Ste 740 Pasadena, CA 91101	Electronic Address					
] via ir	nter-office mail regularly p	rocessed and maintained by the State Bar of	f California addressed to:					
		N/A						
overnight	delivery by the United Parcel S	te Bar of California's practice for collection and proce ervice ('UPS'). In the ordinary course of the State Ba nited States Postal Service that same day, and for ow	r of California's practice, correspon	idence collected and processed by the State Bar of				
after date	l am aware that on motion of the of deposit for mailing contained	e party served, service is presumed invalid if postal ca i in the affidavit.	ancellation date or postage meter d	late on the envelope or package is more than one day				
		rjury, under the laws of the State of California,	that the foregoing is true and o	orrect. Executed at Los Angeles,				
California	a, on the date shown below.		e, n	(0 0)				
Date	D: February 9, 2016	SIGNED:	Genelle De Luca-Sua Declarant	e Juca: Suaru				